



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Yin Liu

Serial No.: 10/822,124

Filed: 04/10/2005

For: ELECTRON-BEAM CONTROLLED  
MICROMIRRO (ECM) PROJECTION  
DISPLAY SYSTEM

) Examiner: Thomas, Brandi N.

) Group Art Unit: 2873

) Docket No.: P200516

Today's Date: November 17, 2005

Honorable Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SUBMISSION**

Sir:

This is a submission under 37CFR1.114 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE), after a final Office Action mailed on 8/22/2005.

**A. CLAIM LISTING: (see separate attached sheet)**

**B. REMARKS:**

Claim 1 is amended; Claims 4 and 14 are canceled, new claims 18-28 are added.

Examiner in her final office action rejected all claims based on 35 USC§102 (b) as being anticipated by Robinson et al. (6031657). In order to meet the 102 (b) anticipation, applicant's claim must contain all the elements and limitation of the cited claim.

In the instant application, Applicant amended independent claim 1 to limit the scope of the original claim. In particular, the limitation or element, i.e., the collector grid, is not present in Applicant's claim 1. Therefore, the amended claim 1 should be able to overcome the 102 (b) rejection because the amended claim 1 lacks the limitation in Robinson.

Secondly, the new independent claim 18 added has a limitation different from the Robinson's. Claim 18 has an element or limitation of a collector grid array attached onto said dielectric membrane, said collector grid being held at a collector potential with respect to a mirror voltage. In contrast, Robinson's claimed a projection display having an element or limitation, i.e., a collector grid spaced apart from said insulating membrane